In re Application of: J. MOGAARD-CHRISTENSEN

Serial No.: 09/879,815

Washington, D.C. 20231

Filed: June 13, 2001 For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR...

FEB 2 2 2002 TA TRADE

Art Unit: 1733

Confirmation No.: 4286

Examiner: S. YAO Washington, D.C.

Atty.'s Docket: CHRISTENSEN=1A

Date: February 22, 2002 THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Transmitted herewith is an [ ] Amendment [XX] Response to Restriction Requirement

in the above-identified application.

- [ ] Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- [XX] No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	Smal	1 Entity	Other Tha	an a Small Entity
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Additional Fee	Rate	Additional Fee
Total		Minus		=	х 9	\$	×18	\$
Indep.		Minus		_	x42	\$	×84	\$
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim					140	\$	+280	\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIMS FEE						\$	Total	ş

- \* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
  \*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
  \*\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.
- The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col.1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.
- [XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[ ] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity Other Than Small Entity Response Filed Within Response Filed Within [ ] First - \$ 55.00 [ ] First - \$ 110.00 Second - \$200.00 Second - \$ 400.00 [ ] ] Third - \$460.00 - \$ 920.00 Third [ 1 Fourth - \$720.00 [ ] Fourth - \$1440.00

- [ ] Less fees (\$ ) already paid for months extension of time on
- [ ] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$\_ \_\_\_. A duplicate copy of this sheet
- [ ] A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.
- [ ] Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, authorizing payment in the amount of \$ is attached.

[XX] The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR Section 1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR Section 1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR Section 1.18.

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528

Telephone: (202) 628-5197

AMK:nmp F:\,P\Patt\Christensen la\Pto\cov1.wpd RECEIVED
FEB 25 2002
TC 1700

BROWDY AND NEIMARK Attorneys for Applicant(s)

> Anne M. Kornbau Registration No. 25,884

#6/Vac

## N LIERAND TED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2/26/02

ATTY.'S DOCKET: CHRISTENSEN=1A

In re Application of:	) Art Unit: 1733
J. MOGAARD-CHRISTENSEN	) Confirmation No. 4286
Serial No.: 09/879,815	) Examiner: S. YAO
Filed: June 13, 2001	) Washington D.C.
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MANUFACTURING A DRY	) February 22, 2002
FORMED FIRROUS WER	, ,

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Honorable Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

The Office Action of February 1, 2002, in to nature of a requirement for restriction, has been carefully reviewed. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Restriction has been required between what the Examiner considers to be three patentably distinct inventions, namely,

Group I directed to a process for making a dryformed absorbent web, presently comprising claims 1-9;

Group II, drawn to an apparatus for preparing a dryformed absorbent web, presently comprising claims 10-12; and

Group III, directed to a dryformed absorbent web, presently comprising claims 13-22.

Applicants hereby provisionally elect, with traverse and without prejudice, claims 1-9, Group I, directed to a process for making a dryformed absorbent web.

This restriction requirement is traversed on the basis of MPEP Section 803, second paragraph, which requires that there be a substantial burden in examining plural groups, even if the restriction requirement is otherwise correct. In the present case, claims 13-22 are directed to the dryformed fibrous web made by the method of claims 1-9. It is respectfully submitted that different classification for the groups is largely immaterial, since it is assumed that the Examiner will search online rather than manually, and that a search which encompasses the medium would necessarily include methods of using the medium. Since there appears to be no serious burden, the restriction requirement should be withdrawn, and such is respectfully requested.

If the restriction requirement is maintained, it will be clear on the record that the PTO considers the two groups to be <u>patentably distinct</u> from one another i.e., prima facie <u>non-obvious</u> from one another. This means that a reference identical to the one group would not render one of the other groups prima facie obvious.

In re Appl. 30. 09/879,815 Confirmation No. 4286

Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Anne M Kornhai

Registration No. 25,884

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

AMK:nmp

 $\label{final_poly} F: \verb|\|, p \neq t | Christensenla | pto | feb 22 02 response to restrict requirement | feb 22 02 02 response to response to response to response to response to response to response to$